UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,092	01/25/2005	Katsuya Itoh	042564	3054
	7590 06/20/200 I, HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, NV	TOSCANO, ALICIA		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/501,09	2	ITOH ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Alicia M. T		1796				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depended for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve od will apply and wi tute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16</u>	S April 2008						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	is/are allowed.							
	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		objected to by the I	Examiner.				
,			-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Majima (WO 01/092417 as evidenced by US 6780482, which is used as an Equivalent English document).

This rejection is as set forth in the action dated 11/28/06.

## Conclusion

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 4/16/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant submitted a declaration 12/19/07. In light of the declaration Applicant argues that the half value of crystallization is not met by Majima. Applicant argues the Examiner's arguments based on the original Ex 12 of the specification are improper due to the amendment changing 0.39 to 0.25 and the declaration set forth previously. Applicant argues Comp Ex 1 and Ex 3, 9 and 10 demonstrate that the recited half value is not necessarily inherent in Majima.
- 3. The Examiner disagrees. The declaration is not persuasive for the reasons already set forth. The proposed amendment to Ex 12 of the specification is not proper since there is no evidence or disclosure or declaration showing why the originally filed

Art Unit: 1796

table was deficient. Said proposed amendment is thusly regarded as new matter. Applicant's argument of support found in the declaration filed 12/19/07 page 2 is not persuasive since there are is no experimental evidence that said experiment was done at the time of the declaration and since it seems that Applicant has merely summarized the data from the amended specification, which is not proper. Regarding Comp Ex 1 and Ex 3, 9 and 10, only Ex 3 is somewhat comparable to the disclosure of Majima since the rejection set forth is over the blend of PET and PBT. However, said Ex 3 exemplifies PET and PBT in combination with additives such as silica and phosphorous, which are not in the claim nor in the rejection over Majima. Thusly, Applicant's argument that the composition of Majima does not inherently possess the properties is found moot. The rejection is proper and stands.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,092 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Toscano whose telephone number is (571)272-2451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**AMT** 

/Randy Gulakowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796